PATENT COOPERATION TREASE FCT/PTO 25 SEP 2008

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 1200306WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below	
International application No. PCT/US2004/012233	International filing date (day/month/year) 21 April 2004 (21.04.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23 April 2003 (23.04.2003)]	
International Patent Classification (IP G01J 3/00, G06F 17/30, G06T 1			
Applicant POLYONE CORPORATION			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis. 1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 8 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	Box No. I Basis of the report		•
	Box No. II	Priority	
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opin applicability	ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	·
	Box No. V		Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the inter	national application
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the	e international application
4.	4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		
			Date of issuance of this report 28 October 2005 (28.10.2005)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland		ombettes	Authorized officer Yolaine Cussac
Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80		Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 80	

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

om the TERNATIONAL SEAR(CHING AUTHORITY	n AF	REC'D 07 M/	4R 2005
Го:		J 🔥,	PWPP	PCT
	CT/ISA/220	INTERNATIO	TEN OPINION OF TOWNS OF THE SEARCHING AND ADDRESS OF THE SEARCHING AND ADDRESS OF THE SEARCH OF THE	AUTHORITY
Applicant's or agent's file r see form PCT/ISA/22		FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	ACTION Blow	
International application N PCT/US2004/012233	No. International filing date		Priority date (day/month/y 23.04.2003	ear)
G01J3/00, G06F17/3	sification (IPC) or both national classifications, G06T1.00, B44D3.00	on and IPC		
POLYONE CORPOR	PATION Ontains indications relating to the f	following items:		
 ☑ Box No. I ☐ Box No. II ☑ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV ☑ Box No. V 	Basis of the opinion Priority Non-establishment of opinion with r Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43 applicability; citations and explanation	regard to novelty, inve	to novelty, inventive step	
☐ Box No. VI☐ Box No. VII☐ Box No. VIII	Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international	application		
written opinion of the applicant ch International Bu will not be so co	International preliminary examination of the International Preliminary Exam nooses an Authority other than this or ureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written onsidered.	ne to be the IPEA and en opinions of this Inte	the chosen IPEA has notife emational Searching Autho	ed the rity
If this opinion is submit to the IF months from th whichever expl	s, as provided above, considered to b PEA a written reply together, where a e date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/22 res later.	be a written opinion of the ppropriate, with amen and or before the expira	the IPEA, the applicant is in dments, before the expirati tion of 22 months from the	ivited to on of three priority date,
For further opti	ions, see Form PCT/ISA/220.			
3. For further deta	ails, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.			
Name and mailing add	ress of the ISA:	Authorized Office	эг	. alber Primero.
D-80298	an Patent Office 3 Munich 9 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d 9 89 2399 - 4465	Sartor, M	-49 89 2399-2793	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

	Box No	.1 Basis of the opinion
1.	With re	gard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in
	☐ Thi	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following guage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search
2	With re	gard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type	of material:
	· □	a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. form	nat of material:
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c. time	e of filing/furnishing:
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
	l	n addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
	4 Δddi	tional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:				
☐ the entire international application	the state of the s			
☑ claims Nos. 9,12	☑ claims Nos. 9,12			
because:				
the said international application does not require an international	preliminary examination (Specify).			
unclear that no meaningful opinion	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):			
the claims, or said claims Nos. a could be formed.	the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion			
□ no international search report has	to the same through the book petablished for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 9,12			
□ the pucleotide and/or amino acid	the arrive poid coguence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex			
the written form	☐ has not been furnished			
	☐ does not comply with the standard			
the computer readable form	□ has not been furnished			
	☐ does not comply with the standard			
the tables related to the nucleo not comply with the technical re	the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, on not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.			
☐ See separate sheet for further	details			

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/012233

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-8,10,11

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1,8,10,11

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-8,10,11

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item III.

The subject-matter of claims 9, 12 is a mere presentation of information, cf. Rule 39.1
 (v) PCT, PCT Guidelines 9.11 and 9.12.

Re Item V.

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1 = WO-A-01/97090

D2 = US-A-6 011 540

D3 = US-A-5 692 071

D4 = EP-A-626 781

D5 = US-A-5 121 196

D6 = US-A-4 929 978

- 2.1 D1 discloses a method of mapping a colour space with cromatic formulations, said method comprising the steps of selecting a number of chromatics for use with a bulk material, formulating the chromatics to generating a plurality of chromatic formulations and computing additional chromatic formulationd using algorithms reflecting the contribution of the chromatics and incremental substitutions thereof (see on page 7, lines 13-23, page 8, lines 6-15 and 29-31, page 9, lines 1-29). Insofar as it can be understood (see Item VIII) the subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore already known (Art. 33 (2) PCT).
- 2.2 The subject-matter of claim 1 is also disclosed by D2-D6 as follows:

D2, page 2, line 67-page 4, line 29;

D3, col. 1, line 40-col. 2, line 9, col. 7, line 26-col.8, line 18, col. 8, line 26-col. 9, line 6, figures 4-6;

D4, page 1, line 33 - page 2, line 52, figures 6-9;

D5, col. 2, line 29 - col. 3, line 46, col. 4 ,line 19-col. 5, line 25, figures 1,2;

D6, col. 4, line 13-col.5, line 38, col. 6, line 27-col. 7, line 51, col. 8, line 17-col. 9, line 15, figures 4-6.

3. The subject-matter of independent claim 10 and the additional features of claims 2-8, 11 are also disclosed by D1-D6, see on points 2.1 and 2.2.

Re Item VIII.

- 1.1 In claim 1 the features "chromatics" and "chromatic formulations" are present, which are defined only in the description on page 2, line 26 and page 4, lines 10-25 respectively, whereby the meaning of said feature is not clear from the wording of the claim alone (PCT Guidelines 5.31).
 Claim 1 is therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 1.2 In claim 1 the features "selection criteria" and "desirable" are present.
 Said features are however so broad that they do not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claim.
 Claim 1 is therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 2.1 In claims 3 and 10 the features "generated nodes" and "computed nodes" are present, which are defined only in the description on page 5, lines 1-9 and page 5, lines 18-28 respectively, whereby the meaning of said feature is not clear from the wording of the claim alone (PCT Guidelines 5.31).
 Claims 3 and 10 therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
 It should moreover be noted that in light of its definition, especially on lines 24-25, the feature "computed nodes" is so broad that it does not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claim.
- In claims 1 and 10 the feature "algorithms" is present.
 Said feature is however so broad that it does not appear to have limiting effect on the scope of the claims.
 Claim 1 and 10 are therefore not clear (Art. 6 PCT).
- 4. In claims 3-8 the expression "optionally" is present. It should be noted that the features following said expression are to be considered as entirely optional, said expression having no limiting effect on the scope of the claim (PCT Guidelines 5.40).

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/US2004/012233

5. Lines 1 and 2 on page 23 should be deleted (PCT Guidelines 5.30).